

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,306	06/20/2005	Michael O'Rourke	084329-000000US	6397
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 07/30/201 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAM	IINER
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
	OK SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,306 O'ROURKE ET AL.

Office Action Summary							
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of inner may be available; under the provisions of 3T CFF and the provision of 3T CFF and the provision of 3T CFF and the second of the secon	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tutute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 April 2010.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicat	ion						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, , , <u> </u>						
6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	_ · · · _ ·						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	avor election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum		)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Applicati	ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage				
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate					

Irrformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3774

## DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b, b) another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of fits subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the finelish language.

Claims 1, 2, 7-11, 14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bolea et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0010303). Bolea et al. discloses the following of claim 1: a method of treating a stiffened blood vessel (para. 0012), said method comprising at least substantially encasing a stiffened portion of said blood vessel (Fig. 12) with an elastic membrane (para. 0016) formed of biocompatible material (para. 0097), such that said membrane engages said stiffened portion of said blood vessel to thereby reduce the external diameter of said stiffened portion of said blood vessel, passively carry at least a portion of blood pressure loads acting on said blood vessel throughout systole and diastole and reduce the effective stiffness of said stiffened portion of said blood vessel (para. 0113), said elastic membrane having a stiffness less than the stiffness of said stiffened portion of said blood vessel (para. 0113 indicates that the stiffened, physical support structure in the elastic membrane will act to passively carry at least a portion of the blood pressure loads that pass through the encased blood vessel).

Bolea et al. discloses claim 2 in paragraph 0013.

Bolea et al. discloses the following of claim 7: the method of claim 1 wherein said stiffened portion of said blood vessel is in a stiffened and dilatated state prior to treatment (para, 0012).

Bolea et al. discloses the following of claim 8: the method of claim 1 wherein said membrane is in the form of a sheet (2), said stiffened portion of said blood vessel being encased by wrapping said membrane sheet around the circumferential periphery of said stiffened portion of said blood vessel (Fig. 12) and securing opposing end portions of said membrane (para, 0106).

Bolea et al. discloses claims 9 and 10 as shown in Figure 12.

Bolea et al. discloses claim 11 in paragraph 0106.

Bolea et al. discloses the following of claim 14: the method of claim 8 wherein the opposing end portions of said membrane are secured by way of interlocking structures (308) formed on, or fixed to, each of said opposing end portions.

Bolea et al. discloses the following of claim 17: the method of claim 1 wherein said membrane is in the form of a spiral (316), said stiffened portion of said blood vessel being encased by spirally wrapping said membrane spiral around the circumferential periphery of said stiffened portion of said blood vessel (Fig. 13).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3774

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Khanghani et al. (US Pat. No. 6,984,201). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach placing the device on an artery such as the ascending aorta. Khanghani et al. teaches a blood circulation device on the ascending aorta in col. 9, lines 8-29 for the purpose of properly maintaining the heart's bloodflow. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of Bolea et al. on the ascending aorta in order to properly maintain the heart's bloodflow.

Claims 5 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Hegde et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0147803). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach applying the device to a grafted, synthetic portion of a blood vessel. Hegde et al. teaches a blood circulation device applied to a grafted, synthetic blood vessel in paragraph 0089 as the synthetic portion was applied to repair a previously damaged portion of the blood vessel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of Bolea et al. on a grafted, synthetic vessel as these grafts are often used to repair damaged vessels.

Claims 6 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Chuter (US Pat. No. 5,387,235). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach constructing the device from a graft of woven polyester. Chuter teaches a stent with a woven polyester graft in col. 9, lines 12-43 for the purpose of utilizing the material's elasticity. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3774

made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by constructing it from a graft of woven polyester in order to utilize the material's elasticity.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Barefoot et al. (US Pat. No.3,726,279). Regarding claims 11, 12, and 14, Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach using a clamp on the ends of the prosthesis. Barefoot teaches a vascular cuff with a clamp (30; Fig. 9) for the purpose of securing the prosthesis in its desired shape. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by using sutures or clamps on the ends of the prosthesis in order to secure the prosthesis in its desired shape.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Spaulding (US Pat. No. 5,304,200). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach welding the ends of the prosthesis. Spaulding teaches a stent with welded ends in col. 5, lines 13-49 for the purpose of securing the prosthesis in its desired shape. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by welding the ends of the prosthesis in order to secure the prosthesis in its desired shape.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Jones (US Pat. No. 4,202,349). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach markings on the prosthesis. Jones teaches a stent with markings in col. 2, line 52 through col. 3, line 16 for the purpose of helping the physician to properly locate the prosthesis. Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 3774

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by placing markings on the prosthesis in order to help the physician to properly locate the prosthesis.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Dusbabek et al. (US Pub. No. 2001/0007082). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach how the sheet membrane is formed. Dusbabek et al. teaches a stent where a cylinder is cut to form different structures to be used with the prosthesis in paragraphs 0074-0076 for the purpose of allowing the user to create the desired shape for the prosthesis. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by using a cut cylinder to form different structures to be used with the prosthesis in order to allow the user to create the desired shape for the prosthesis.

Claims 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Bolea et al. The reference is silent as to the properties of size and stiffness, as claimed by the Applicant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the prosthesis with the claimed physical characteristics, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Silverstrini et al. (US Pat. No. 4,834,755). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach using elastic

Art Unit: 3774

polyurethane in the prosthesis. Silverstrini et al. teaches a biological prosthesis using clastic polyurethane in columns 5 and 6 for the purpose of utilizing the material's biocompatibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by using clastic polyurethane in the prosthesis in order to utilize the material's biocompatibility.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolea et al. in view of Wellman et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0065303). Bolea et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed, however, Bolea et al. does not teach implanting the device thoracoscopically. Wellman et al. teaches a biological prosthesis whose implantation is done thoracoscopically in paragraph 0016 for the purpose of allowing the user to accurately place the device on the damaged blood vessel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bolea et al. by implanting the device thoracoscopically in order to allow the user to accurately place the device on the damaged blood vessel.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/540,306 Page 8

Art Unit: 3774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774